



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/852,580

05/10/2001

Jonathan J. Barrow

EMC01-13(01009)

5065

7590

03/10/2005

David E. Huang, Esq.
CHAPIN & HUANG, L.L.C.
Westborough Office Park
1700 West Park Drive
Westborough, MA 01581

EXAMINER

LY, ANH VU H

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2667

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/852,580

Applicant(s)

BARROW ET AL.

Examiner

Anh-Vu H Ly

Art Unit

2667

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,6-8,10,15-17,19 and 20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 2-5,9,11-14 and 18 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date December 26, 2002.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1, 6-8, 10, 15-17, and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art disclosed in the specification on pages 1-4 and Fig. 1 in view of Barrera et al (US Patent No. 6,748,448 B1).

With respect to claims 1, 10, and 19, the admitted prior art discloses in Fig. 1 that the data storage system 22 includes a set of network interfaces cards, front-end circuitry (processing circuitry), a cache, back-end circuitry (processing circuitry) and a set of disk drives having blocks for storing data. Additionally, the data storage system 22 includes a bus (the processing circuitry of the data storage system being physically connected to the back plane of the data storage system) that connects the front-end circuitry, the cache, and the back-end circuitry together (a network interface card for a data storage system having a back plane and processing circuitry for performing block-based data access operations). As illustrated in Fig. 1, the NIC has a port for coupling to the network 26 (a first port that is capable of coupling to an external network) and a port for connecting to the front-end circuitry (a second port that is capable of physically connecting to the back plane of the data storage system). The admitted prior art does not disclose a control circuitry interconnected between first port and second port being configured to receive file-based communications from the external network through the first port

Art Unit: 2667

and provide block-based communications to the processing circuitry of the data storage system through the second port and the backplane in response to the filed-based communications; receive block-based communications from the processing circuitry through the second port and the backplane and provide filed-based communications to the external network through the first port in response to the block-based communications; and provide application server resources to operate as an application server that runs application level programs. Barrera discloses (col. 8, line 30 – col. 9, line 6 and Fig. 3) that the data storage device controller receives the URL request from the client, using the data storage device controller protocol, data storage device controller invokes the CGI script or a special software routine, stored on the controller, that parses and decodes the URL request, CGI script or special software routine identifies the physical I/O address of the resource file from the URL and passes the request directly to the data storage device driver, using SCSI or IDE protocol for direct retrieval of I/O blocks, and the controller returns the resource file directly to the web client using HTTP. Herein, the host server is bypassed, therefore, it is free to run other application programs. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the teachings of Barrera in the admitted prior art's system, to have a faster data transfer.

With respect to claims 6-7 and 15-16, the admitted prior art discloses in Fig. 1, a data storage system for providing resources to the clients. The admitted prior art does not disclose that the control circuitry is configured to exchange IP communications with the external network through the first port, block-based communications with the processing circuitry of the data storage system through the second port and the backplane of the data storage system. The

Art Unit: 2667

control circuitry further includes a map which maps filenames with blocks of a set of data storage device of the data storage system, and wherein the control circuitry is configured to receive a file-based IP data access request from the external network and to access a block of data within the data storage system based on file-based IP data access request and the map.

Barrera discloses (col. 8, line 30 – col. 9, line 6 and Fig. 3) that the data storage device controller receives the URL request from the client, using the data storage device controller protocol, data storage device controller invokes the CGI script or a special software routine, stored on the controller, that parses and decodes the URL request, CGI script or special software routine identifies the physical I/O address of the resource file from the URL and passes the request directly to the data storage device driver, using SCSI or IDE protocol for direct retrieval of I/O blocks, and the controller returns the resource file directly to the web client using HTTP. Herein, the host server is bypassed, therefore, it is free to run other application programs. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the teachings of Barrera in the admitted prior art's system, to have a faster data transfer.

With respect to claims 8 and 17, the admitted prior art discloses in Fig. 1, that the NIC operates as a processor of multiprocessor system which simultaneously performs multiple application level operations.

With respect to claim 20, the admitted prior art discloses in Fig. 1, at least one network interface card for providing resources to the clients.

Art Unit: 2667

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 2-5, 9, 11-14, and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Vange et al (US Pub 2002/0004796 A1) discloses system and method for providing distributed database services.

Bober (US Patent No. 6,718,372 B1) discloses methods and apparatus for providing access by a first computing system to data stored in a shared storage device managed by a second computing system.

Chilton (US Pub 2002/0078292 A1) discloses methods and apparatus for transferring a data element within a data storage system.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anh-Vu H Ly whose telephone number is 571-272-3175. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2667

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

avl



CHI PHAM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

3/7/05